Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/755,432	CHAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gautam Sain	2176
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Gautam Sain</u> .	(3)	
(2) Atty. Murrell Blackburn, Representative for Appl. (4)		
Date of Interview: <u>13 July 2005</u>	Time: <u>5pm, EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101		
Claims discussed: 11-14, 18,19,20.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
C.S.		
War -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		



Application No. 09/755,432

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner sought compliance with 35 USC 101 for claims 11-14, 18, 19, 20 to be functional descriptive material. Applicant proposed a series of amendments to conform to 35 U.S.C. 101 and authorized the Examiner's Amendments to supplement the Allowance.